

l Enmore Gardens London SW14 8RF E: john@jlplanning.co.uk Tel: 07710 351296

J Bishop Esq Planning Development Manager Development Control Gloucester City Council PO Box 3252 Gloucester GF1 9FW

16th May 2022

Dear Jon

<u>Planning and Listed Building Consent Application - Downings Malthouse, Merchants Road/ High</u> Orchard Street, Gloucester GL1 2ER

I attach herewith an application, on behalf of Rokeby Merchant Developments (Gloucester) Ltd, for the restoration and development of a further phase of the site known as Bakers Quay. The application site comprises two parts, the northern section comprises Downings Malthouse, Downings Malthouse Kiln, High Orchard Street Warehouse and the High Orchard Street Kiln together with the site of the former Concrete Silo demolished in 2019. The southern part of the site comprises an area between the Downings Malthouse Extension on its north side and the recently developed Provender Mill to the south. This is to be laid out for parking, in part to provide sufficient space for the development on the Downing Malthouse site. The application site boundary is shown on the attached drawings 9959 PL 01-03. PL03 shows the various existing elements, described above, that comprise the northern section of the site. The site boundary is shown on plan PL02, and the boundary of the whole Bakers Quay site is shown in blue on plan PL01.

The development is for the following:

Alteration, including partial demolition, restoration, development and extension of Downings Malthouse and the High Orchard Street Warehouse, plus the creation of a new basement level in Downings Malthouse accessed from Merchants Road to provide car parking, together with an extension and bridge link to Downings Malthouse Extension to provide 49 residential units on the ground and upper floors and 60m2 of commercial floorspace for use for Class E purposes on the ground floor. The development of a new building comprising basement ground and nine upper floors on the site of the former Silo and the retention of the remaining portion of the High Orchard Street Kiln containing basement car parking, a ground floor plaza, reception and ancillary accommodation linking the building to Downings Malthouse, and 68 residential units on the ground and upper floors together with additional ancillary parking to the south of Downings Malthouse Extension, access, turning and landscaping all at Bakers Quay Merchants Road/High Orchard Street Gloucester.

The application comprises the following documents:

- 1 The application form completed online
- 2 Certificate A
- 3 The Planning Application fee
- 4 The completed CIL form
- 5 Site location plan, existing and proposed site plans and existing block plan 9959 PL01-04
- 6 Proposed plans, sections and elevations 9959 PL 05, 10-23, 27 and 30
- 7 Street scene drawings 9959 PL 24-25
- 8 Proposed visualisations and montages 9959 PL 33-35
- 9 Sub station details 9959 PL 26
- 10 Bridge link details 9959 PL 28
- 11 Landscape plan 9959 PL 36
- 12 Schedule of accommodation
- 13 Design and Access Statement (parts 1&2)
- 14 Townscape and Visual Impact Statement (parts 1-5)
- 15 Archaeology and Heritage Assessment (parts 1-3)
- 16 Demolition Statement
- 17 Condition/ Structural Report
- 18 Land Contamination Report (parts 1-8)
- 19 Transport Statement/Car Park Management Scheme/ Cycle parking proposals (parts 1-3)
- 20 Stage C M&E report
- 21 Flood Risk Assessment
- 22 Drainage Strategy
- 23 Ecological Update Report and Bat Survey
- 24 Construction Method Statement and Waste Minimisation Report
- 25 Energy Report
- 26 Environmental Management Scheme
- 27 Noise Mitigation Report in respect of the proposed residential units.
- 28 Residential Travel Plan

A viability assessment and the heads of terms for any section 106 agreement will follow shortly after the submission of the application

Planning permission and Listed building Consent were granted in 2016 for the restoration and redevelopment of the whole site (identified in blue on the attached site location plan 9959 PL01) for a mixed use scheme comprising residential use, an hotel, and restaurant and cafe uses A3-A5. This development was to be completed in phases. Phase 1, on the southern portion of the site, was completed in 2018 and included the redevelopment of the former Provender Mill for restaurant and cafe uses on the ground floor and residential use above, the development of an hotel, plus the development of a free standing drive thru coffee shop. It also included the development of ancillary parking for the various elements of the development.

It was hoped, following the completion of Phase 1 in 2018 to proceed with a further phase of the development of the 2016 scheme, in particular phase 2A (Downings Malthouse) and phase 2B (the former concrete silo) but two matters rendered the progress of that element of the 2016 consented scheme impossible.

First it had been recognised, at the time of the 2016 consent, that over the previous 20 years, before the purchase of the site by Rokeby Merchant, that in particular Downings Malthouse had

been the subject of vandalism and deterioration to the point that it was no longer possible to access the building given its poor condition. The retention of the interior of the building was already in doubt at that stage. Further inspection in 2018 revealed that the building was in a precarious and dangerous condition and liable to collapse. Emergency works were therefore instigated to make the building safe. Permission was granted in May 2019 for structural stabilisation works. This involved the removal of the interior of the building and the shoring up of the remaining external walls. As a result a large part of the original building was lost.

Second the foundation of this phase of the Bakers Quay scheme had been the income generation from a number of ground floor restaurant and cafe uses, which would fund the restoration and use of the upper floors of Downing Malthouse for residential purposes and the retention of a number of historic features in this building listed as of Architectural and Historic Interest. It was however recognised as being in a precarious position even at this stage. The restaurant and cafe market however collapsed at the end of 2019 with the emergence of the pandemic, a position that remains today and shows no sign of a revival to the levels that were prevalent at the time of the previous proposals.

It has therefore been necessary to revisit this element of the Bakers Quay scheme to identify a form of development that met all the relevant criteria and represented a scheme that was viable for predominantly residential development. A small element of commercial space has been retained and will allow the retention and restoration of the Downings Malthouse Kiln.

The evolution of the application proposals as a viable scheme are described in detail in the Design and Access Statement (DAS) submitted with the application as are the various consultations that took place during the design process. In summary the DAS concludes the following:

"Downings Malthouse refers to the redevelopment of the remaining Downing's Malthouse walls, and the refurbishment of the High Orchard Street Warehouse. This beautiful structure will be sensitively restored to create a truly unique living space within Gloucester. The design uses modern interventions within the Heritage context - establishing a fresh approach to warehouse redevelopment.

The regeneration and restoration of the Downing's Malthouse is enabled through the proposed new Downing's Tower, that will offset the substantial costs associated with rebuilding the Downing's Malthouse building, and also enable the recommencement of works on the Malthouse House Extension (to the west of our site, and a future phase in the overall Bakers Quay masterplan).

Downings Tower is a bold, new building to the south of the site that sensitively steps away from the existing Heritage Block. Design discussions with the Council and Historic England have led to a preference for a tall building in this location. With that in mind, we have sought to create an addition to the Gloucester skyline that stands proud, whilst responding to its surroundings.

Careful consideration of materiality, form, scale and massing has been key to the design of Downings Tower. Ensuring that the scheme is a clear reference to the history of Gloucester and the Docks, whilst looking boldly to the future of development in Gloucester as a whole. '

The proposals have also been the subject of a Townscape and Visual Impact Assessment, prepared by Cotswold Archaeology, to assess the effects of the new scheme on the surrounding townscape and landscape given the range of historic buildings both within the docks and in the wider surrounding area. The site adjoins on its western side the Gloucester Docks Conservation Area. This study concludes the following:

- 7.10 On balance, taking into account the existing urban context and its character, and proposed changes to the Site, the Proposed Development has been considered to have minor adverse, and minor beneficial effects on the townscape. The effects are adverse because the Downing Tower building is tall in comparison to the surrounding building heights. However, it is considered in our professional opinion that it is similar and therefore sympathetic to its surroundings in overall scale and massing. This is further reinforced by the material selection and its immediate setting. Additionally, the effects are also beneficial as the proposed development will change the site's use to align with the adjacent residential properties to the south and south-west, thus reinforcing this aspect of the townscape.
- 7.11 In conclusion, the development has multiple beneficial effects. These are as follows:
- The site is changing from a derelict site into a high quality residential development. This is in context to the surroundings and becomes a transition from the residential to the commercial settings.
- The development will provide better permeability and movement along the eastern edge of the canal.
- the development will create a sympathetic and exciting landmark feature this historically ever-changing quay setting.
- The development provides an opportunity to redress the imbalance of unsympathetic architecture within some modern developments within Baker quay
- 7.12 The visual impacts are largely minor with one exception of the small group of residents on Scudamore Place, where results are assessed leading to moderate adverse significance of effect. It is noted however that through the assessment process, this receptor group is also anticipated to gain some beneficial visual impacts from the development; and only residents of a small number of the properties would potentially experience the low adverse impacts. Furthermore, the mitigation incorporated into the proposals enhancements of the woodland buffer planting and the selection of sympathetically coloured, quality building materials has ensured that this impact is kept to a minimum.
- 7.13 Given the townscape setting and the scale/design of the proposed development, it is our considered professional opinion, that overall the outcome of only one moderate adverse effect for a single receptor group comprising a very small number of residents, is proportionate to the potential beneficial effects in landscape character and visual amenity terms.

The other significant report attached to the application that deals with the principles of development and the overall effect of the proposed development is the Heritage Assessment. this assesses the impact of the proposals on both the designated and non designated Heritage Assets both on and adjoining the site and reaches the following conclusions:

Analysis of the significance of the built heritage of the Site, and consideration of the development proposals, concludes that there will be no 'substantial harm' to any designated heritage assets, including that within the Site and heritage assets in the wider area.

The report provides analysis of differing effects of the proposals, with regard to elements of heritage significance, and impacts upon them. With regard to effects upon designated heritage assets it is concluded that the proposals would result in an overall degree of heritage

enhancement to the Grade II Listed Downings Malthouse and also to the Malthouse Extension Grade II listed building.

With regard to non-designated heritage assets, paragraph 203 directs that a balanced judgment is required, having regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset. The development proposals will not affect any known non-designated heritage assets within the Site. Archaeological investigations in the wider vicinity of the site have located medieval remains at depths of c.2m below the current ground level, with post- medieval remains surviving at depths of c.0.85m. The Site lay outside of the medieval precinct of Llanthony Priory, within farmland (orchard) and as such, there is not a notable potential for any remnant medieval structures. There is a potential for former elements of the post-medieval use of the area, although these are generally well-recorded on historic maps and would be of limited historic interest.

Planning Policy Assessment

The purpose of the planning system, as set out in paragraph 7 of the National planning Policy Framework is to achieve sustainable development. Sustainable development is defined as having three objectives, an economic objective, a social objective and an environmental objective.

This development, both in respect of the overall scheme for Bakers Quay, and this phase of the development relating to Downings Malthouse, were both considered by the Council in 2016 to represent sustainable development and to therefore have a presumption in favour of approval.

It is clear that the three objectives of sustainable development are also met by this proposal. It is an urban, accessible, brownfield site that has been vacant for many years and is capable of development for a substantial quantity of residential development to meet a recognised need both nationally and locally. The economic benefits of its development will be substantial, providing 117 new homes, substantially more than the previous phase of the development on this site. It will also meet the social objective of sustainable development by providing much needed homes in a location accessible to other services. Finally there can be no doubt that the redevelopment and restoration of this site, which has been vacant and derelict for many years, will both protect and substantially enhance both the built and historic environment. The above Design, Townscape and Heritage assessments, whilst acknowledging that the proposal will have impacts, are overwhelmingly positive in acknowledging that the benefits of bringing this site back into beneficial use outweigh any adverse impacts.

On this basis therefore there is a clear presumption in favour of the approval of the scheme.

The NPPF requires that development involving Heritage Assets should if possible sustain and enhance their significance. This should however take into account the positive contribution they can make and the economic viability of any proposals for their future use plus the contribution that new development can make to those objectives (para 197). There should be a clear and convincing justification for any harm or loss (para 200), but considerations of the nature of the building, the need for a viable use, and any benefits that would result from new development should be taken into account in any assessment (para 201).

The Heritage Assessment considers that due to the significant loss of the majority of the Downings Malthouse buildings the effect of the new development will be largely neutral of the remaining heritage asset. The Assessment however considers there will be some harm as a result of the alterations to the external walls to provide additional and larger openings. However the benefits of the achievement of a viable use for the building and the repair and restoration f the external walls out weighs any harm that is caused.

An assessment of the design policies, both at national and local level is contained in section 2 of the Townscape and Visual assessment the conclusions of which are set out above. This assessment considers that there is only a limited impact on a an existing development some distance from the site and that this is outweighed by the benefits of the development.

Other than that the local policies in the Joint Core Statement SD3, SD4 and SD10 are met by this development or their provisions are covered by the additional supporting material included with this application.

Conclusion

This application for both Planning Permission and Listed Building Consent represents a development that can, given the sites constraints and objectives, meet the policies that seek to guide development in particular in relation to design and the preservation of heritage assets. If you require any further information please do not hesitate to get in touch.

Yours sincerely

John Littman JCL Partnership Ltd



Development Control Gloucester City Council PO Box 3252, Gloucester, GL1 9FW 01452 396396 development.control@gloucester.gov.uk www.gloucester.gov.uk/planning

Application for Planning Permission; Listed Building Consent for alterations, extension or demolition of a listed building

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended); Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas Act) 1990 (as amended)

Publication of applications on planning authority websites

Please note that the information provided on this application form and in supporting documents may be published on the Authority's website. If you require any further clarification, please contact the Authority's planning department.

| Site Location | |
|--|---|
| Disclaimer: We can only make recommendati | ions based on the answers given in the questions. |
| If you cannot provide a postcode, the description help locate the site - for example "field to the N | on of site location must be completed. Please provide the most accurate site description you can, to North of the Post Office". |
| Number | |
| Suffix | |
| Property Name | |
| Downings Malthouse | |
| Address Line 1 | |
| Merchants Road | |
| Address Line 2 | |
| Address Line 3 | |
| Town/city | |
| Gloucester | |
| Postcode | |
| | |
| Description of site location mus | st be completed if postcode is not known: |
| Easting (x) | Northing (y) |
| 382580 | 217959 |

Planning Portal Reference: PP-11261992

| Downings Malthouse Land between Merchants Road and High Orchard Street Gloucester and Land between Downings Malthouse Extension and Provender Mill |
|--|
| |
| Applicant Details |
| Name/Company |
| Title |
| |
| First name |
| |
| Surname |
| Littman |
| Company Name |
| Rokeby Merchant Developments (Gloucester) Ltd |
| Address |
| Address line 1 |
| C/O Agent |
| Address line 2 |
| 1 Enmore Gardens |
| Address line 3 |
| Sheen |
| Town/City |
| London |
| Country |
| UK |
| Postcode |
| SW148RF |
| Are you an agent acting on behalf of the applicant? |
| ✓ Yes○ No |
| Contact Details |
| Primary number |
| **** REDACTED ***** |
| |

Description

| as number mail address mail address regent Details lame/Company tie Mr rst name John Jo |
|--|
| mail address TREDACTED TR |
| Agent Details Jame/Company title Mr rst name John John John John John John John John |
| Agent Details Jame/Company title Mr rst name John John John John John John John John |
| Ingent Details Idame/Company Idame/Company Idame |
| Itame/Company tite Mr rst name John Joh |
| Itame/Company tite Mr rst name John Joh |
| Itame/Company tite Mr rst name John Joh |
| tite Mr rst name John urname Littman pmpany Name JCL Partnership Ltd ddress ddress line 1 |
| tite Mr rst name John urname Littman ompany Name JCL Partnership Ltd ddress ddress line 1 |
| rst name John John Littman Dompany Name JCL Partnership Ltd Jddress Jddress line 1 |
| John urname Littman ompany Name JCL Partnership Ltd ddress ddress line 1 |
| Littman ompany Name UCL Partnership Ltd ddress ddress line 1 |
| Littman ompany Name JCL Partnership Ltd ddress ddress line 1 |
| ompany Name JCL Partnership Ltd Address ddress line 1 |
| JCL Partnership Ltd ddress line 1 |
| JCL Partnership Ltd ddress line 1 |
| ddress line 1 |
| ddress line 1 |
| |
| 1 Enmore Gardens |
| |
| ddress line 2 |
| Sheen |
| ddress line 3 |
| |
| own/City |
| London |
| ountry |
| undefined |
| ostcode |
| SW14 8RF |
| |
| Contact Details |
| |
| rimary number ****** REDACTED ****** |

| Secondary number |
|---|
| |
| Fax number |
| |
| Email address |
| ***** REDACTED ***** |
| INEB/IGIES |
| |
| |
| Description of the Proposal |
| Please note in regard to: |
| Fire Statements - From 1 August 2021, planning applications for buildings of over 18 metres (or 7 stories) tall containing more than one dwelling will require a 'Fire Statement' for the application to be considered valid. There are some exemptions. <u>View government planning guidance on fire statements</u> or <u>access the fire statement template and guidance</u>. Permission In Principle - If you are applying for Technical Details Consent on a site that has been granted Permission In Principle, please |
| include the relevant details in the description below. Public Service Infrastructure - From 1 August 2021, applications for certain public service infrastructure developments will be eligible for faster determination timeframes. See help for further details or view government planning guidance on determination periods. |
| Description |
| Please describe details of the proposed development or works including details of proposals to alter, extend or demolish the listed building(s) |
| Alteration, including partial demolition, restoration, development and extension of Downings Malthouse and the High Orchard Street Warehouse, plus the creation of a new basement level in Downings Malthouse accessed from Merchants Road to provide car parking, together with an extension and bridge link to Downings Malthouse Extension to provide 49 residential units on the ground and upper floors and 60m2 of commercial floorspace for use for Class E purposes on the ground floor. The development of a new building comprising basement ground and nine upper floors on the site of the former Silo and the retention of the remaining portion of the High Orchard Street Kiln containing basement car parking, a ground floor plaza, reception and ancillary accommodation linking the building to Downings Malthouse, and 68 residential units on the ground and upper floors together with additional ancillary parking to the south of Downings Malthouse Extension, access, turning and landscaping all at Bakers Quay Merchants Road/High Orchard Street Gloucester. |
| Has the development or work already been started without consent? |
| ○ Yes ⊙ No |
| Listed Building Grading |
| What is the grading of the listed building (as stated in the list of Buildings of Special Architectural or Historical Interest)? |
| ○ Don't know ○ Grade I ○ Grade II* ⊙ Grade II |
| Is it an ecclesiastical building? |
| ○ Don't know○ Yes⊙ No |
| |

Demolition of Listed Building

| Does the proposal include the partial or total demolition of a listed building? | |
|---|--------------|
| ✓ Yes○ No | |
| If Yes, which of the following does the proposal involve? | |
| a) Total demolition of the listed building ○ Yes ⊙ No | |
| b) Demolition of a building within the curtilage of the listed building ○ Yes ⊙ No | |
| c) Demolition of a part of the listed building ⊘ Yes ○ No | |
| If the answer to c) is Yes | |
| What is the total volume of the listed building? | |
| 11760.00 | Cubic metres |
| What is the volume of the part to be demolished? | |
| 11760.00 | Cubic metres |
| What was the date (approximately) of the erection of the part to be removed? | |
| Month | |
| January | |
| Year | |
| 1880 | |
| (Date must be pre-application submission) Please provide a brief description of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish | |
| Minor works to the existing walls to secure their future | |
| Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)? | |
| To tidy up the building for future use | |
| | |
| Immunity from Listing Has a Certificate of Immunity from Listing been sought in respect of this building? ○ Yes ⊙ No | |
| | |
| | |

| Listed Building Alterations |
|---|
| Do the proposed works include alterations to a listed building? |
| |
| ○ No |
| f Yes, do the proposed works include |
| a) works to the interior of the building? |
| |
| ○ No |
| b) works to the exterior of the building? |
| |
| ○ No |
| c) works to any structure or object fixed to the property (or buildings within its curtilage) internally or externally? |
| |
| ○ No |
| d) stripping out of any internal wall, ceiling or floor finishes (e.g. plaster, floorboards)? |
| |
| ○ No |
| f the answer to any of these questions is Yes, please provide plans, drawings and photographs sufficient to identify the location, extent and |
| character of the items to be removed. Also include the proposal for their replacement, including any new means of structural support, and state |
| references for the plan(s)/drawing(s). |
| See supporting information |
| |
| |
| |
| Materials |
| Does the proposed development require any materials to be used? |
| |
| ○ No |
| |
| Please provide a description of existing and proposed materials and finishes to be used (including type, colour and name for each |
| material) demolition excluded |
| |
| Type: |
| External walls |
| Existing materials and finishes: |
| Brick |
| Proposed materials and finishes: |
| Reuse of existing bricks for the main external walls. White brick for the internal elevations. Salmon bricks for the Downings tower |
| |
| Type: Roof covering |
| Existing materials and finishes: |
| Slate |
| Proposed materials and finishes: |
| Reclaimed slates and slate to match existing. Sage metal cladding and Bronze metal cladding |
| |
| |

| Yes No |
|--|
| If Yes, please state references for the plans, drawings and/or design and access statement |
| DAS and proposed scheme drawings 9959 PL01-36 |
| |
| Site Area |
| What is the measurement of the site area? (numeric characters only). |
| 0.50 |
| Unit |
| Hectares |
| |
| Existing Use |
| Please describe the current use of the site |
| Vacant former warehouse |
| Is the site currently vacant? |
| ✓ Yes○ No |
| If Yes, please describe the last use of the site |
| Warehouse |
| When did this use end (if known)? |
| 31/12/1990 |
| Does the proposal involve any of the following? If Yes, you will need to submit an appropriate contamination assessment with your application. |
| Land which is known to be contaminated |
| ○ Yes⊙ No |
| Land where contamination is suspected for all or part of the site |
| ○ Yes⊙ No |
| A proposed use that would be particularly vulnerable to the presence of contamination |
| ○ Yes ⊙ No |
| |
| Pedestrian and Vehicle Access Roads and Rights of Way |

Pedestrian and Vehicle Access, Roads and Rights of Wa

| ✓ Yes○ No |
|---|
| |
| Is a new or altered pedestrian access proposed to or from the public highway? |
| |
| Are there any new public roads to be provided within the site? |
| ○ Yes⊙ No |
| Are there any new public rights of way to be provided within or adjacent to the site? |
| YesNo |
| Do the proposals require any diversions/extinguishments and/or creation of rights of way? |
| ○ Yes② No |
| If you answered Yes to any of the above questions, please show details on your plans/drawings and state their reference numbers |
| See layout plan |
| |
| Vehicle Parking Does the site have any existing vehicle/cycle parking spaces or will the proposed development add/remove any parking spaces? |
| Vehicle Type: Cars Existing number of spaces: 0 Total proposed (including spaces retained): 113 Difference in spaces: 113 |

| Are you proposing to connect to the existing drainage system? |
|--|
| |
| O No |
| ○ Unknown |
| If Yes, please include the details of the existing system on the application drawings and state the plan(s)/drawing(s) references |
| See supporting information |
| |
| |
| Assessment of Flood Risk |
| Is the site within an area at risk of flooding? (Check the location on the Government's Flood map for planning. You should also refer to national standing advice and your local planning authority requirements for information as necessary.) |
| ✓ Yes○ No |
| Is your proposal within 20 metres of a watercourse (e.g. river, stream or beck)? |
| |
| ○ No |
| Will the proposal increase the flood risk elsewhere? |
| ○Yes |
| ⊗ No |
| How will surface water be disposed of? |
| ✓ Sustainable drainage system |
| ☐ Existing water course |
| □ Soakaway |
| ☐ Main sewer |
| ☐ Pond/lake |
| |
| |
| Trees and Hedges |
| Are there trees or hedges on the proposed development site? |
| ○Yes |
| ⊘ No |
| And/or: Are there trees or hedges on land adjacent to the proposed development site that could influence the development or might be important as part of the local landscape character? |
| ○ Yes② No |
| ♥ NO |
| If Yes to either or both of the above, you may need to provide a full tree survey, at the discretion of the local planning authority. If a tree survey is required, this and the accompanying plan should be submitted alongside the application. The local planning authority should make clear on its website what the survey should contain, in accordance with the current 'BS5837: Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations'. |
| |

| Is there a reasonable likelihood of the following being affected adversely or conserved and enhanced within the application site, or on land adjacent to or near the application site? |
|---|
| To assist in answering this question correctly, please refer to the help text which provides guidance on determining if any important biodiversity or geological conservation features may be present or nearby; and whether they are likely to be affected by the proposals. |
| a) Protected and priority species ○ Yes, on the development site ○ Yes, on land adjacent to or near the proposed development ○ No |
| b) Designated sites, important habitats or other biodiversity features Yes, on the development site Yes, on land adjacent to or near the proposed development No |
| c) Features of geological conservation importance O Yes, on the development site O Yes, on land adjacent to or near the proposed development O No |
| Supporting information requirements |
| Where a development proposal is likely to affect features of biodiversity or geological conservation interest, you will need to submit, with the application, sufficient information and assessments to allow the local planning authority to determine the proposal. |
| Failure to submit all information required will result in your application being deemed invalid. It will not be considered valid until all information required by the local planning authority has been submitted. |
| Your local planning authority will be able to advise on the content of any assessments that may be required. |
| Waste Storage and Collection Do the plans incorporate areas to store and aid the collection of waste? |
| |
| Residential/Dwelling Units Does your proposal include the gain, loss or change of use of residential units? Yes No |

Biodiversity and Geological Conservation

| If your application was started by you review any information pro- | = | _ | | • | have changed. We | recommend that |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Proposed | | | | | | |
| - Please select the housing cate ✓ Market Housing | | vant to the propose | d units | | | |
| ☐ Social, Affordable or Interme☐ Affordable Home Ownership☐ Starter Homes☐ Self-build and Custom Build | | | | | | |
| Market Housing | | | | | | |
| Please specify each type of hou | using and number o | of units proposed | | | | |
| Housing Type: Flats / Maisonettes | | | | | | |
| 1 Bedroom: 62 | | | | | | |
| 2 Bedroom: 47 | | | | | | |
| 3 Bedroom: 8 | | | | | | |
| 4+ Bedroom: | | | | | | |
| Unknown Bedroom: 0 | | | | | | |
| Total: 117 | | | | | | |
| Proposed Market Housing Category Totals | 1 Bedroom Total | 2 Bedroom Total | 3 Bedroom Total | 4 Bedroom Total | Unknown Bedroom Total | Bedroom Total |
| Category Totals | 62 | 47 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| Existing | | | | | | |
| Please select the housing cate | gories for any exist | ing units on the site | : | | | |
| ☐ Market Housing☐ Social, Affordable or Interme☐ Affordable Home Ownership☐ Starter Homes☐ Self-build and Custom Build | | | | | | |
| Totals | | | | | | |
| Total proposed residential units | otal proposed residential units 117 | | | | | |
| Total existing residential units | | 0 | | | | |
| Total net gain or loss of residen | tial units | 117 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Please note: This question is based on the current housing categories and types specified by government.

| - | | e loss, gain or change of use of non-res is context covers all uses except Use (| - | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| ✓ Yes✓ No | | | | |
| Please | add details of the Use | Classes and floorspace. | | |
| not be these o | used in most cases. A or any 'Sui Generis' us | Also, the list does not include the ne | t includes the now revoked Use Class wly introduced Use Classes E and F1- where prompted. Multiple 'Other' opti | -2. To provide details in relation to |
| | Class: Storage or distribution | | | |
| | _ | porspace (square metres): | | |
| | ss internal floorspace | to be lost by change of use or demo | olition (square metres): | |
| | l gross new internal f | loorspace proposed (including chan | ges of use) (square metres): | |
| _ | = | nal floorspace following developme | nt (square metres): | |
| | Class: Restaurants and cafes | | | |
| Exis | ting gross internal flo | oorspace (square metres): | | |
| Gros | ss internal floorspace | to be lost by change of use or demo | olition (square metres): | |
| Tota | l gross new internal f | loorspace proposed (including chan | ges of use) (square metres): | |
| Net 8 | additional gross inter | nal floorspace following developme | nt (square metres): | |
| | Existing gross internal floorspace (square metres) | Gross internal floorspace to be lost by change of use or demolition (square metres) | Total gross new internal floorspace proposed (including changes of use) (square metres) | Net additional gross internal floorspace following development (square metres) |
| | 481 | 481 | 60 | -421 |
| | r gain of rooms els, residential institutio | ons and hostels please additionally indic | cate the loss or gain of rooms: | |
| | | | | |
| Emp | loyment | | | |

All Types of Development: Non-Residential Floorspace

Planning Portal Reference: PP-11261992

| Are there any existing employees on the site or will the proposed development increase or decrease the number of employees? |
|---|
| ⊗ Yes |
| ○ No |
| Existing Employees |
| Please complete the following information regarding existing employees: |
| Full-time |
| 0 |
| Part-time |
| 0 |
| Total full-time equivalent |
| 0.00 |
| |
| Proposed Employees |
| If known, please complete the following information regarding proposed employees: |
| Full-time Full-time |
| 0 |
| Part-time |
| 0 |
| Total full-time equivalent |
| 0.00 |
| |
| |
| Hours of Opening |
| Are Hours of Opening relevant to this proposal? |
| ○ Yes⊙ No |
| |
| |
| Industrial or Commercial Processes and Machinery |
| Does this proposal involve the carrying out of industrial or commercial activities and processes? |
| ○ Yes ⊙ No |
| Is the proposal for a waste management development? |
| ○Yes |
| ⊙ No |
| |
| |

| Hazardous Substances |
|--|
| Does the proposal involve the use or storage of Hazardous Substances? |
| ○ Yes② No |
| |
| |
| Trade Effluent |
| Does the proposal involve the need to dispose of trade effluents or trade waste? |
| ○Yes |
| ⊗ No |
| |
| Site Visit |
| Can the site be seen from a public road, public footpath, bridleway or other public land? |
| |
| If the planning authority needs to make an appointment to carry out a site visit, whom should they contact? |
| |
| ○ The applicant○ Other person |
| |
| |
| |
| Pre-application Advice |
| Pre-application Advice Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? |
| |
| Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? |
| Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? Yes No If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application |
| Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? Yes No If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): |
| Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? Yes No If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: |
| Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? ⊘ Yes ○ No If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: Title |
| Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? ② Yes ○ No If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: Title ****** REDACTED ******* |
| Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? ⊘ Yes ○ No If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: Title ****** REDACTED ****** First Name |
| Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? Yes No If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: Title ****** REDACTED ****** First Name ****** REDACTED ****** |
| Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? Yes No If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: Title ****** REDACTED ****** First Name ******* REDACTED ******* Surname |
| Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? ② Yes ○ No If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: Title ******* REDACTED ******* First Name *********** Surname *********************************** |
| Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? ② Yes ○ No If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: Title ******* REDACTED ******* First Name *********** Surname *********************************** |
| Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? ② Yes ③ No If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: Title ********REDACTED ******* First Name *********REDACTED ******* Surname **********REDACTED ******* Reference |
| Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application? Yes No If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority to deal with this application more efficiently): Officer name: Title First Name First Name First Name REDACTED ***** Surname Reference Date (must be pre-application submission) |

| Advice of the form and nature of the application |
|---|
| |
| Authority Employee/Member |
| With respect to the Authority, is the applicant and/or agent one of the following: (a) a member of staff (b) an elected member (c) related to a member of staff (d) related to an elected member |
| It is an important principle of decision-making that the process is open and transparent. |
| For the purposes of this question, "related to" means related, by birth or otherwise, closely enough that a fair-minded and informed observer, having considered the facts, would conclude that there was bias on the part of the decision-maker in the Local Planning Authority. |
| Do any of the above statements apply? ○ Yes ⊙ No |
| Ownership Certificates and Agricultural Land Declaration |
| Certificates under Article 14 - Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 & Regulation 6 - Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Regulations 1990 |
| Please answer the following questions to determine which Certificate of Ownership you need to complete: A, B, C or D. |
| Is the applicant the sole owner of all the land to which this application relates; and has the applicant been the sole owner for more than 21 days? Yes No |
| Is any of the land to which the application relates part of an Agricultural Holding? ○ Yes ⊙ No |
| Certificate Of Ownership - Certificate A |
| I certify/The applicant certifies that on the day 21 days before the date of this application nobody except myself/the applicant was the owner* of any part of the land or building to which the application relates, and that none of the land to which the application relates is, or is part of, an agricultural holding** |
| * 'owner' is a person with a freehold interest or leasehold interest with at least 7 years left to run. ** 'agricultural holding' has the meaning given by reference to the definition of 'agricultural tenant' in section 65(8) of the Act. |
| NOTE: You should sign Certificate B, C or D, as appropriate, if you are the sole owner of the land or building to which the application relates but the land is, or is part of, an agricultural holding. |
| Person Role ○ The Applicant ⊙ The Agent |
| Title |
| Mr |
| |

| First Name |
|---|
| John |
| Surname |
| Littman |
| Declaration Date |
| 17/05/2022 |
| ✓ Declaration made |
| |
| |
| Declaration |
| I / We hereby apply for Full planning & listed building consent as described in this form and accompanying plans/drawings and additional information. I / We confirm that, to the best of my/our knowledge, any facts stated are true and accurate and any opinions given are the genuine options of the persons giving them. I / We also accept that: Once submitted, this information will be transmitted to the Local Planning Authority and, once validated by them, be made available as part of a public register and on the authority's website; our system will automatically generate and send you emails in regard to the submission of this application. |
| ✓ I / We agree to the outlined declaration |
| Signed |
| John Littman |
| Date |
| 23/06/2022 |
| Amendments Summary |
| Amendment to the section of the application form concerning the partial demolition of a listed building |
| |