

View Response

Response Details

From Mr Chris Hebbon

Date Started: 01 Jul 2022 12:30. Last modified: 01 Jul 2022 12:30

Status Complete

Email Address [REDACTED]

Title Mr

First Name Chris

Last Name Hebbon

Address 1 [REDACTED]

Address 2 [REDACTED]

Town/City [REDACTED]

Postcode [REDACTED]

Contact No. [REDACTED]

Response ID #1108217

Visibility Unknown.

Would you like to be notified of future progress on the Gloucester City Plan? We will do this by email.

You must provide an answer to this question.

Yes

No

To which Main Modification to the Pre-Submission Plan, Policies Map or updated Sustainability Appraisal does this representation relate?

Select at least 1 option.

Main Modification

Policies Map

SA/HRA

Please specify Main Modification or Policies Map Number, or SA/HRA paragraph number

MM59/5.7/SA01

Do you support or object to the proposed modification?

Support

Object

Please provide comments below on the Main Modifications to the Gloucester City Plan. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Main Modifications, or comment on the modifications to the policies map or SA, please also use this box to set out your comments.

You must provide an answer to this question.

I do have a comment to make on one item and am not quite sure how to respond, hence this email.

The item is MM59 Site Allocations New Paragraph - 5.7 Policy SA Ref SA01.

This relates to what you title 'Land at the Wheatridge', but which correctly should read 'Land at The Wheatridge East'. The Allocation reads, "2 Form Entry Primary School and approximately 10 residential dwellings". It is the residential dwellings which are the subject of my email.

You should be aware that the land allocated for a school is the subject of a covenant restricting the plot for use solely for a school. This covenant was transferred by the original developers (Glevum) into the title of the 16 Glevum houses built around the edge of the site in both The Wheatridge East and Wheatway, A straw poll of some residents reveals that they would object to any residential houses being built, just as they've felt in the past and this would be the point made at any Land Tribunal Hearing, although these are usually held only when a covenant is out of date or there is nobody identifiable to challenge a change of covenant. Local feeling is that there is a known lack of green space within our Ward and whatever land is not used for the school should be allocated as a green space. It is currently used a lot by dog walkers and would be used more if the ground was tended more than just wo haircuts a year. There was mention of it being applied for as a Local Green Space Designation.

To my knowledge, this land is still owned by the County Council and you should approach them for more information that I've covered above. I am not alone in being surprised and somewhat disturbed to find that what I've mentioned above appears to have been ignored and would like an assurance that mention of these houses is taken out of the Plan. If memory serves, there is an Asset Management & Property Department in Shire Hall which dealt with this in the past.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to improve the Main Modifications to the Gloucester City Plan. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward suggested revised wording for any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

You must provide an answer to this question.

The Covenant mentioned above means that it will only be possible to build a school on the site (with sufficient car parking for staff). Otherwise any surplus land can be used as a green space for residents to help compensate for a shortfall in such space in the Ward.

If you would like to upload any information to support your response, please do so here.

You can upload up to 10 files.

«No files»